### 26TH EUROPEAN CONFERENCE ON PSYCHOSOMATIC RESEARCH CRVTAT/DUBROVNIK CROATIA SEPTEMBER 27 - 30, 2006



# COPING STRATEGIES IN CHILDREN WITH HEADACHES



#### Anita Vulić-Prtorić

Department of Psychology, University of Zadar, Croatia

#### **Renata Coha**

Department of Pediatrics, General Hospital Josip Benčević, Slavonski Brod, Croatia Marina Grubić

Department of Pediatrics, University Hospital Zagreb, Croatia

#### Josip Lopižić

Department of Psychiatry, General Hospital Dubrovnik, Croatia

#### Patricija Padelin

Department of Pediatrics, General Hospital Zadar, Croatia

#### Introduction

- Medically unexplained neurological symptoms such as headaches, dizziness, fainting and vertigo are common in pediatric primary care throughout the world and they may be associated with marked distress and functional disability during childhood and adolescence.
- Once headache symptoms have developed, they may be moderated or maintained by different personal factors, among them coping strategies having strong influence.
- Coping strategies are assumed to be consciously and deliberately used methods for regulating negative emotions or to manage situations in which there is a perceived discrepancy between stressful demands and available resources (Carr, 1999). Active ways of coping like problem solving, cognitive distraction, self-calming and asking for help from others, contribute to the better adaptation and decrease psychological and somatic symptoms, in contrast to the more passive ways of coping like avoidant and social isolation (Compas et al. 1988).

#### Objective

To examine the differences between **3 groups** of subjects

- (1) children with headaches in pediatric care,
- (2) nonclinical headachers and
- (3) healthy controls
  - in 7 different aspects of coping strategies:
    - Problem Solving,
    - Expressing Feelings,
    - Avoidance, Distraction,
    - Social Support- Friends,
    - Social Support Family,
    - Cognitive Restructuring).

### Method - participants

The sample consisted of **144** schoolchildren:

- Clinical sample : 43 patients with headaches who met established criteria for identifying headaches and were referred for examination by pediatric care. Children's headache problems were rated by experienced pediatric specialist.
- Nonclinical sample: 59 headachers recruited from the general population and
- Healthy children: 42 healthy children and adolescents in control group.

The nonclinical and healthy sample comprised subjects from the *Psychosomatic Symptoms Questionnaire for Children and Adolescents – PSS* (described later) standardization sample of 278 primary school children.

### Method - instruments

- Coping strategies were measured with SUO- The Coping Strategies Inventory for Children and Adolescents. SUO is the self-assessment instrument that measures the frequency and effectiveness of 7 coping strategies that children use in response to stressful events (see Table 1.)
- The Psychosomatic Symptoms Questionnaire for Children and Adolescents (PSS) (Vulić-Prtorić, 2005) is a 35-item scale that inquires about 35 somatic symptoms and sensations (cardiovascular, respiratory, gastrointestinal, dermatological, pseudoneurological, and pain/weakness). In this research PSS was not an outcome measure, but is used to help construct the study groups (see Table 1.)

Table 1. Main characteristics of the instruments used in this research

Measures	No. of items	Range	Cronbach alfa
PSS-The Psychosomatic Symptoms Questionnaire for Children and Adolescents			
Symptom frequency	35	35-140	0,88
Symptom severity	35	35-105	0,93
SUO The Coping Strategies Inventory for Children and Adolescents			
Problem solving	9	0-27	0,83
Expressing feelings	8	0-24	0,77
Avoidance	11	0-33	0,79
Distraction	10	0-30	0,68
Social support- friends	6	0-18	0,80
Social support – family	6	0-18	0,77
Cognitive restructuring	8	0-24	0,74

## Figure 1. Coping strategies in children with headaches (clinical and nonclinical sample) and healthy controls



### **Results:**

- Clinical vs. nonclinical headachers: No significant differences in coping strategies were found between clinical and noclinical headachers
- Clinical headachers vs. healthy controls: Significant differences were found in 4 of 7 coping strategies: clinical headachers use more Avoidance, Distraction, Cognitive restructuring and Seeking support from family.
- Nonclinical headachers vs. healthy controls Significant differences were found in 3 of 7 coping strategies: nonclinical headachers use more Avoidance, Cognitive restructuring and Expressing feelings.
- Significant gender differences were found only for the nonclinical headachers group in three coping strategies: *Problem Solving, Social Support – Family and Cognitive Restructuring*. In all cases girls scored higher than boys

- This finding is directly in line with the results reported by Band and Weisz (1988) and Brodzinsky et al. (1992) that children who experience lot of unfamiliar stressors like illness may evoke more secondary coping (cognitive avoidance, distraction, etc.) as a way of minimising the distress associated with a problem that is appraised as less controllable.
- The use of active coping strategies such as seeking information and comfort from friends and family could minimizes distress associated with medical procedures better than avoidance behaviors. But Peterson (1989) is warning that presenting information to the children who avoid such information might have an adverse effect. It seems that it is best to reinforce a child's preferred coping style rather than attempt to change coping strategies.

This research was supported by the Croatian Ministry of Science, Education and Sport for scientific projects grant No. 0070012 *Psychosocial aspects in the childhood and adolescent psychopathology* to Anita Vulić-Prtorić, Department of Psychology, University of Zadar, Croatia

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Anita Vulić-Prtorić, Ph.D., Department of Psychology, University of Zadar, 23000 Zadar, Croatia, e-mail:avulic@unizd.hr; http://personal.unizd.hr/~avulic/